IN THE REGIONAL COURT FOR THE DIVISION OF WESTERN CAPE
HELD AT WYNBERG

CASE NO: **RCD / WYN: 695 / 15**

In the matter between:

MARK TIMOTHY HOLGATE	Applicant
And	
NICOLE DREYER	Respondent
In re:	
NICOLE DREYER (ID NO: 710825 0046 08 7)	Plaintiff
and	
MARK TIMOTHY HOLGATE (ID: 710119 5070 08 0)	Defendant

RESPONDING AFFIDAVIT (2)

I, the undersigned,

NICOLE DREYER

do hereby make oath and say that:

1. I am an adult female currently residing at 24 Woodgate Road, Plumstead, Western Cape.

2. I request that the Honourable Court does not grant the Applicant condonation in respect of the time period in which the application was

NO
N-S

brought in the event that the Court finds that it was brought outside the required time period.

3. I have read the Replying Affidavit of the Applicant in which there is further misdirection and perjury.

4. I bring to the attention of the Honourable Court that I received the Replying Affidavit of the Applicant on Friday 21 August 2020 at 10:13am (1 day before Court Date of 24 August 2020). I suggested to Mr Nkosi that we postpone the court appearance so that I could have time to respond but he did not reply to my request. I, thus, appeared in Court on 24 August 2020.

   I attach copies of emails, annexed hereto, marked **"CN1"** and **"CN2a."**

5. Mr Nkosi informed me that I do not need to answer the Responding Affidavit of the Applicant.

   I attach copies of emails, annexed hereto, marked "**CN2b**."

6. The Applicant's Responding Affidavit contains copy and paste repetition of the Founding Affidavit that has been addressed in the Respondant's Responding Affidavit in full, with additonal information provided to correct untrue and / or misleading facts and to provide adequate

background information to avoid further misdirection and misleading by the Applicant in the hope that this will save time and resources.

7. The information in the Respondant's Responding Affidavit is all both true and relevant as the Applicant has included parental rights and responsibilites in his appliaction herewith and has mislead, given half truths and / or information and has outright lied in his founding affidavit.

8. The Applicant continues to repeat in various paragraphs of the Applicant's Responding Affidavit that he has not included care and contact in this application and which claim has already been addressed and refuted in the Responding Affidavit of the Respondant. I plead with the Honourable Court to note this fact.

9. The Respondent has chosen to send in this Replying Affidavit to the Applicant's Replying Affidavit simply to state that the Replying Affidavit of the Applicant is, once again, untrue and / or incorrect, is repetetive and vexatious and is an unncessary addition to the court process since it contains no new information relevant to the process, excluding minor changes and ommissions that could hold the Applicant accountable for perjury and criminal litigation.

10. I would like to request to the Honourable Court that Cattow, Neethling and WIID acknowledge that it is high risk for me to appear in court unnecessarily and request that both the Applicant and I avoid court

appearances and unnecessary responding affidavits unless we are adequately prepared moving forward and they are pertinent to resolving this dispute, please.

I attach a duplicate (apologies for the duplicate document) copy of proof of my lung condition for consideration, annexed hereto, marked **"CN3."**

11. The Responding Affidavit of the Respondent was written in answer to the founding affidavit in an Ad Seriatim response, although it was not marked as such with headings, but inluded paragraphs in brackets in the incorrect format, and includes additional background and details of events to provide clarity on the application of the Applicant.

12. The Respondent does not respond to the repetition of the founding affidavit and requests that the Honourable Court refer only to the founding affidavit and the Respondent's Responding Affidavit to avoid further confusion and waste of time and resources – taking into account that the Applicant has now submitted two legally binding affidavits to the Honourable Court with untue and / or incorrect facts which is a criminal offense.

13. I paginate this Replying Affidavit (2) in consecutive order from the Applicant's Replying Affidavit (ending page no: 19) for ease of use and reference for the Honourable Court to provide further information to

ND
NS

clarify and assist and expedient process in the Honourable Court.

Ad Seriatim Response to Respondent's Replying Affidavit

Ad Paragraph 2,

14. The Respondent replies:

- I have been in recovery for over 5 years (with a small slip in 2018) and work a solid 12 Step approach to recovery from addiction.
- The first principle of the Program I work is honesty.
- I am over 3 quarters finished a Recovery Assistant Course with a leading institution in recovery in Cape Town.
- My goal for the last 2 years as been to moving into life coaching and recovery based work.
- I am a member of H&I (Hospitals and Institutions) in a 12 Step Program. We take meetings to addicts / alcoholics in lock down facilities (Hospitals, Treatment Centres and Prisons).
- I do service at a Woman's Shelter for abused / addicted women in recovery.
- I have been asked by a life coach from Johannesburg to run the Cape Town branch of a support group for Narcissistic abuse.

ND
N.S

- I work an honest program as my reputation in the field depends on this and, more importantly, it is the basis of any real recovery – without honesty there can be no real change.
- My life and the lives of others on the same journey depend on my adherence to certain spiritual principles and moral values. I am bound by integrity and my desire to be of service to work an authentic program of recovery.
- I do not lie. My Responding Affidavit and all facts therein are entirely true and / or correct to the best of my knowledge.

Ad Paragraph 9

15. I have attempted to engage free legal representation from probono.org since 12 August 2020 and emailed my FNB Law on Call on 4 August 2020 to request assistance. I have had no response to confirm from either party yet and am still, currently, representing myself. My legal insurance has not responded in the past and I requested cancellation of their product recently. It is, however, still intact, but, once again I have had no response. (Ad paragraphs 2-3 No:9 of the Applicant's Responding Affidavit that I have "chosen" to represent myself). The Respondent does not lie.

I attach a copy of emails, annexed hereto, marked **"CN4," "CN5," "CN6," "CN7"** and **"CN8."**

NO
N.S

Ad Paragraph 10

16. The Respondent does not understand what allegation the Applicant refers to herewith and maintains the use of online resources for research. The Respondent has now also reached out to a family connection who is a Family Lawyer to ask relevant questions when she is available but this legal representative is busy and she does not know the details or history of this case.

Ad Paragraph 11

17. I refer to the following actions of the Applicant resulting in my "financial ruin":

- falsely alleging that the Respondent's life partner had molested Jed to remove support and the Respondent's partner from the family (rendering the Respondent a single parent, single income household overnight)
- placing the 8 year old child of the Respondent in a traumatic situation and causing the child anxiety and stress - resulting in severe emotional distress for the Respondent and additional time required to hold her son through the situation alone

- telling a combined social circle that the Respondent is lying / schizophrenic to isolate her from support and create undue stress
- spreading defamatory gossip that dried up work referrals for the business of the Respondent
- telling private professionals hired to investigate the sexual abuse claim that the Respondent is schizophrenic to discredit her which resulted in a delay of resolution and bias
- having his attorney brief professionals hired to find resolution and to misdirect the investigation/s
- telling BADISA officials that the Respondent is schizophrenic / BiPolar which has prolonged the case in court and forced the Respondent to continue doing her own legal admin and case investigation for over a year to present relevant evidence in a case that went uninvestigated in full
- interfering with the Respondent's family to create acrimony, and "split" members, thereby removing child care and emotional support (messaging under-age daughter despite the Respondent's requests not to)
- lying and misdirection of facts by the applicant to muddy the waters of the sexual abuse allegation – days of additional admin and resources to dispute

ND
NS

- lying and misdirection of facts by the applicant to muddy the waters in Children's Court - days of additional admin and resources to dispute
- opening a Civil Case outside of Maintenance Court and Children's Court proceedings unnecessarily with a 4th false claim in a year (claims now: sexual abuse allegation; claim that the Respondent is a liar; claim the Respondent is "crazy," "schizophrenic and off her meds," or BiPolar; claim that the Respondent is committing fraud)
- ongoing emotional and psychological abuse resulting in mental health issues that have made it very hard to focus and work at times (admittance into Akeso for 3 weeks for anxiety and mental exhaustion)
- threats from police officers used via the Applicant's SAPS contacts to the point that the Respondent was warned to leave her house for a place of safety (unplanned costs / loss of work hours) with her children
- vexatious prosecution – unnecessary time and resources
- ongoing broken agreements and refusal by the Applicant to mediate for 5 years resulting in continual acrimony and instability – unproductive and time consuming
- having to try and engage with the Applicant or to have to approach court for resolution to continual breaking of agreements in place – time consuming and unproductive
- the Applicant continues to lie and misdirect and waste valuable time on unnecessary admin and emails to follow up and correct his "stories,"

NP
NS

denials of truth and misleading claims.

Ad Paragraph 13

18. The Respondent informed Gadaffi Nkosi of the typographical error on the Motion of Notion for this application prior to serving him with her Responding Affidavit.

Ad Paragraph 14

19. I wrote the Respondent the email marked A and annexed to the Responding Affidavit of the Applicant shortly after I had come back from Akeso for treatment for anxiety and mental exhaustion.

20. I was admitted to Akeso after the Respondent had made the false allegation of child molestation against my life partner, I had received no support from the professionals hired to handle the allegation due to them being briefed by the Applicant's lawyer, the Applicant had harassed me for 3 or more days with no intervention from the biased mediator hired to manage the situation and my son had continued to be used to manipulate and provoke me (the Applicant knows that I can not bear seeing my child unhappy).

21. Akeso explained how this type of abuse works and I was encouraged to deal with the co-dependency that allowed this type of abuse to

ND
NS

continue. Learning to put down boundaries is a part of recovery for co-dependency.

22. After the Applicant's actions and dishonesty and the information supplied by Akeso I was no longer willing to negotiate outside of any legal agreements with the Applicant. I have maintained this stance since then, bar one attempt to facilitate one of his requests recently again as the Applicant drew my son into care and contact logistics again and convinced him to ask me as well. I then agreed to the request which did not work out logistically, the Applicant then refused to consider working it out or considering options which resulted in ten days of acrimony and my underage daughter being messaged without me knowing again and acrimony in my inner family circle again. No more negotiation outside of legal agreements to avoid further acrimony and the resulting chaos and stress that it brings.

23. The Respondent had no support from Jodi Lord or Anne Cawood due to the Applicant's lawyer having briefed them and due to the Applicant having told them that the Respondent was schizophrenic and off medication. The Applicant made this claim to Anne Cawood in front of the Respondent.

24. Jed was sick and neglected when the Respondent collected him from the Applicant's home on return from Akeso and Jed requested to the Respondent that he spend more time with her. Including the full

upcoming 3 week holidays in full. The respondent was trying to protect her child and to adhere to current legal agreements in place while the case unfolded, with no legal council and with no support from the private social workers on the case.

Proof of Jed's physical state is attached to my response to the founding affidavit.

Ad Paragraph 20

25. The respondent has never tried to withhold the Applicant's contact with Jed. The respondent brings to the attention of the Honourable Court that the Applicant includes items different in the final order of divorce that pertains to parental rights and responsibilities.

26. The Applicant told BADISA and Children's Court that the Respondent was BiPolar and unmedicated and attempted to get primary care of Jed in December.

27. Considering the decisions made for Jed by the Applicant over the years, the Respondent requests that parental rights and care and contact be left to Children's Court to consider, which is still in progress.

Ad Paragraph 21

28. Untrue. The Applicant did tell Ms Le Pann that we were sticking to the final order of divorce regarding care and contact for Jed. The Applicant and the Respondent had been adhering to the consent paper until then. The Respondent was confused when the applicant referred to the final divorce order.

Ad Paragraph 22

29. This is untrue and incorrect and the events regarding this on the Responding Affidavit of the Respondent are accurate and true in full. (Paragraph 11 – Responding Affidavit – Respondent)

30. Untrue. The Applicant said that he already had medical aid for Jed in the court appearance and produced a card as proof.

Ad Paragraph 34

31. The fact that the Respondent followed the consent paper for 4 years uncontested and wrote to her lawyer to question how this situation could have occurred and then, in addition, submitted written documents to maintenance court pointing out this fact and, in further addition, openly pointed it out to the Prosecutor and the Applicant in maintenance court should be evidence that the Respondent reasonably believed that the consent paper had been filed correctly.

ND
NS

32. Furthermore, the Applicant lying about the date that he first made the false allegation of fraud and beginning this application with the aforementioned facts in hand should make it apparent that this is a dishonest and vexatious attempt to change a maintenance agreement and, more importantly, possible parental rights and responsibilites currently being addressed in Children's Court.

33. The Applicant is now using the legal system to perpetuate his ongoing abuse. The Applicant's facts continue to go unchecked by his attorney and he continues to submit untrue affidavits and claims.

Ad Paragraph 41 – 43

34. The Applicant is misdirecting. The Applicant made certain allegations. The correct facts are in the Respondent's Responding Affidavit to the founding Affidavit where the Applicant has, repeatedly, give untrue, half correct and incorrect information and continues to in his answering affidavit to further misdirect and confuse.

Ad Paragraph 44

35. The Applicant includes parental responsibilities in respect of Jed in his request to move from the final order of divorce to the terms of the consent paper, excluding only paragraph 3.1. All facts contained in Paragraphs 36-39, 41- 43, 45 - 47 of the Responding Affidavit of the

ND
NS

Respondent are, thus, relevant and important.

Ad Paragraph 47

36. The Applicant continues to make false allegations and the Respondent is praying that the Honourable Court will hear the evidence and help to stop this moving forward, where an overwhelmed and naive department of justice has not for over a year. The Respondent is tired and running out of time.

Ad Paragraph 50

37. The Applicant requests that only paragraph 3.1 of the final order be left to Children's Court. The final divorce order contains a section regading parental rights and responsibilites. The Applicant is misleading the court.

Ad Paragraph 51

38. The Applicant has made this application knowing full well the risks and implications for my health and my financial situation and was present in person when I informed the Public Prosecutor of Court 6 that there had been some kind of clerical error. The Applicant is using bullying tactics and I do not believe the Applicant expected me to stand up to him. I have lost everything due to the Applicant's actions over the last year

NO
NS

and I am no longer afraid of him. The Applicant needs to be held accountable for breaking the law or he will not amend his behaviours and tactics.

Ad Paragraph 51

39. There is an email from one of said professional clearly stating the opposite in the Respondent's annexes of the first Responding Affidavit. If it please the Honourable Court, consider the Respondent's Replying Affidavit as entirely true and / or correct.

Ad Paragraph 53

40. Paragraph 58 goes to character and the Applicant's blatant disregard for the law.

Ad Paragraph 55

41. There is an interim order in place and no care and contact with Jed has been limited. The Applicant has received a 50 – 50 split interim order due to claiming I was mentally unwell and off medication.

42. I approached the Children's Court in 2019 to request a low contact parenting plan to stop further harassment and acrimony.

ND
NS

Ad Paragraph 63

43. The Respondent's health circumstances are relevant insofar as the Applicant continues to misdirect, repeat information unnecessarily from preceeding documents, lie outright and this will waste time and resources as well as put the all parties health at risk with unnecessary court appearances.

44. The Respondent asks the Honourable Court to note that the Respondent worked until 3:33am on 2 September 2020 to further reply to the Applicant's responding affidavit, is currently unwell and is about to, once again, go into the public domain against her docotr's recommendation to get relevant documents certified, served and delivered in her response to a further untrue and / or oncorrect and unnecessary responding affidavt by the Applicant.

45. The Respondant also notes that this new false allegation (4th one in a year by the Applicant) is taking valuable time away from her time spent with her son which is, due to her progressive and terminal health condition, of primary importance to her.

Ad Paragraph 65

46. The Respondent did not begin this application and does not understand how the Applicant can make such a statement. It is the

ND
NS

Applicant who is using Civil Court to change current parental agreements regarding Jed and maintenance.

Conclusion

47. The Respondent requests that the Honourable Court accept the response to the founding affidavit as both true and / or correct and acknowledges the lack of necessity for the Applicant's Responding Affidavit.

48. Since the application made by the Applicant includes parental rights and responsibilities the pleadings are set downs of the application should be delivered to the Family Advocate for their records.

49. The Respondent requests of the Honourable Court that the Regional Court of South Africa Civil Practice Directives (2017 4th Revision- paragraph 5.6) be adhered to by the Applicant's legal representation with regards to this matter in full.

*"**5.6 Divorce Matters** In all divorce and related matters, where there are children involved,including Rule 58 applications, the Office of the Family Advocate must be furnished copies of all the pleadings and set-downs."*

50. The Respondent reiterates that no fraud has been committed by her and that this application contains false and or untrue information and allegations and requests that the Applicant be held accountable for perjury.



ND
NS

Gmail **Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>**

Holgate // Dreyer

1 message

Roxanne Lezar <roxanne@cattonw.co.za> Fri, Aug 21, 2020 at 10:13 AM
To: Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>
Cc: Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za>

Dear Madam

Please find attached hereto our client's Index, by way of email service, as agreed.

Many thanks and kind regards,

Roxanne Lezar

CATTO | NEETHLING | WIID
A FAMILY LAW PRACTICE

- Ground Floor, 35 on Rose, 35 Rose Street, Bo-Kaap, Cape Town, 8001
- P.O. Box 15860, Vlaeberg, 8018
- Tel: +27 21 487 9300
- E-mail: roxanne@cattonw.co.za
- Web: www.cattonw.co.za

Disclaimer: This message is confidential. It may also be privileged or otherwise protected by law. If you have received it by mistake, please let us know by e-mail reply and delete it from your system; you may not copy this message or disclose its contents to anyone. The integrity and security of this message cannot be guaranteed nor that it is free of errors, virus, interception or interference. 'Success is implementation'

Scan_Itec20082110280.pdf
261K

NO
NS

Gmail **Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>**

Re: Holgate // Dreyer

1 message

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com> Fri, Aug 21, 2020 at 11:12 AM
To: Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za>
Cc: Roxanne Lezar <roxanne@cattonw.co.za>

Dear Gadaffi,

Received with thanks.

I would like to send a responding affidavit but have meetings and work happening today.

My mom and I have to sell our home at this point, possibly.

Or rent out for income if we can.

probono.org have still not confirmed with me as representation.

How long do I have to send my responding affidavit to you, please?

It looks like you have prepared well in advance!

With thanks,

Nicole

On Mon, 17 Aug 2020 at 16:49, Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za> wrote:

> Dear Nicole,
>
> Please see correspondence attached hereto.
>
> Regards
>
> **Gadaffi Nkosi**

ND NS

Gmail **Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>**

Re: Civil Court - Holgate

1 message

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com> Tue, Sep 1, 2020 at 1:58 PM
To: Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za>, Roxanne Lezar <roxanne@cattonw.co.za>, "anton@cattown.co.za" <anton@cattown.co.za>

Hi Gadaffi,

To confirm. As per Subject of mail I refer only to Civil matter. Apologies for the confusion. I'm not very well right now. I didn't bother to correct the misunderstanding yesterday. I was too tired.

I am meeting with Lynn this Friday (I hope) to consider the civil application. I am not sure that I can afford her services, however, and am currently still representing myself.

Lynn was my representation for the matter in children's court. Aniqah assisted for the maintenance court case opened by Mark and has, subsequently, finished articles with Lynn which is why I responded in person to Mr Neethling initially.

This new claim made by Mark is yet to be addressed in full as I have been focused on the Children's Court matter.

Please be advised and I will let you know when I have representation for the Civil application personally.

With thanks,

Nicole

On Mon, 31 Aug 2020 at 17:04, Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za> wrote:

Dear Ms. Dreyer,

ND NS

As you are aware, we are the attorneys for your ex-husband, Mark, and not yourself; we are accordingly under no obligation to provide

you with legal advice and would urge you in this regard to refer to your queries to Lynn Swarts or the Probono.org for guidance.

The above notwithstanding, please note that the return date in respect of the Children's Court matter is tomorrow, Tuesday, 1 September

2020.

The return date in respect of our client's variation application is Tuesday, 15 September 2020. In terms of the Rules of Court, you

are not required to file a further affidavit.

As per our letter to you dated 17 August 2020, you are reminded that the heads of argument must be delivered to us and also filed in

the court file 5 days before the hearing of the matter, thus on or before Monday, 7 September 2020.

ND
NS

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail is confidential and may also be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender. Any disclosure,

copying, distribution or any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail is prohibited.

From: Go Remote [mailto:goremoteworld@gmail.com]

Sent: 31 August 2020 12:17 PM

To: Gadaffi Nkosi <Gadaffin@cattonw.co.za>; Info <info@cattonw.co.za>

Subject: Civil Court - Holgate

Hi Gadaffi,

ND
NS

I hope you are warm and well.

I still have not hear for pro bono . .org.

I wrote to my FNB insurance last Tuesday after court but still have not heard back from them either.

ND
MS

Do I need to respond to your second affidavit? My cousin's wife is a lawyer and I reached out on 21 August when I received it. She said she was unsure as it is not common for this to happen.

I asked her again today as I have not heard from possible legal representation yet and I assume I need to answer within ten days of receipt again if I am legally bound to answer.

ND
NS

I am very tired after last week's appearance and children's court mediation on top of things.

Do I need to reply to your second affidavit or do we move to heads of argument now, please? I don't want to be caught unprepared if I can not get legal representation in time for the next court appearance.

MD
NS

Please confirm next court date in your response? I was pretty anxious in court and did not make a note of it.

With thanks,

Nicole

NP
NS

Brampton
Family Practice
Est. 1948

257 Main Road | Claremont | Cape Town | 7708
Tel (021) 683 4300 | **Fax** (021) 683 4308
A/H (021) 712 6699 | **Pr. No.** 1526731
www.bramptonpractice.co.za
info@bramptonpractice.co.za

Date 31/08/2020

CN3

MEDICAL CERTIFICATE

Undersigned hereby certifies that: Nicole Dreyer

Was examined by me on: 31/08/2020 date of first consultation

and again on _____ date of last consultation

I was informed, he/she was unfit to work from:

31/08/2020 up to and including 03/09/2020

DUE TO ILLNESS / OPERATION / INJURY

Diagnosis: Respiratory tract infection

He/She will resume duty on: _____

Patient consent to reveal diagnosis: _____

Dr _____

Dr Andrea Watkins-Neethling
MBBch (Wits)
MP 0707333 PN1526731
andrea@bramptonpractice.co.za
257 Main Road, Claremont 7708
OFFICIAL STAMP
021-6834300

ND
NS

Scanned with CamScanner

~~"FN4"~~ CN3

Brampton
Family Practice
Est. 1948

257 Main Road | Claremont | Cape Town | 7708
Tel (021) 683 4300 | **Fax** (021) 683 4308
A/H (021) 712 6699 | **Pr. No.** 1526731
www.bramptonpractice.co.za
info@bramptonpractice.co.za

Date..... 22 07. 2020 .....

NICOLE DREYER

This is to certify that the above patient suffers from CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISORDER.

She is at risk for COVID-19 and it is advisable that her son Joel should be schooled at home.

Dr John Grant
Brampton Family Practice
257 Main Road, Claremont 7708
Pr. 1526731
Ph: 021 683 4300

ND NS

Gmail

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>

Re: Help with Domestic Violence case and Civil Case

1 message

Shadreck Masile <cptintern4@probono.org.za> Wed, Aug 12, 2020 at 2:00 PM
To: Nicole Dreyer <nicole@goremote.world>

Hi Nicole,

Please bear with me, I have been out of office sick & will only return to work on the 17th of August, so I currently do not have access to your file.

However, I understand that we once had correspondence previously, and is tgis same matter or its something new. I want to ascertain if I can be able to llok at the matter before going to the office on Monday.

CN4

Kind reagrds,
Shadreck Masike

Get Outlook for Android

From: Nicole Dreyer <nicole@goremote.world>
Sent: Friday, August 7, 2020 9:14:53 AM
To: Shadreck Masile <cptintern4@probono.org.za>
Subject: Fwd: Help with Domestic Violence case and Civil Case

---

NICOLE DREYER | T: +27 (0) 82 377 7025 | E: nicole@goremote.world | W: goremote.world

GO REMOTE

-------- Original Message --------

Subject: Help with Domestic Violence case
Date:2020-08-07 00:13
From: Nicole Dreyer <nicole@goremote.world>
To:info@wlce.co.za

HI,

ND NS

Please find link to my responding affidavit to ex-husband.

I need assistance, please. I am drowning in admin trying to defend myself and am bankrupt now. My phone has been cut off so I am on WhatsApp on phone number below only or email.

Gmail

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>

Re: Cattow - Motion of Notion and Responding Affdavit

1 message

Shadreck Masile <cptintern4@probono.org.za> Fri, Aug 21, 2020 at 11:37 AM
To: Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>

I will go through this and revert back to you

Get Outlook for Android

CNS

From: Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 21, 2020 10:45:23 AM
To: Shadreck Masile <cptintern4@probono.org.za>
Subject: Cattow - Motion of Notion and Responding Affdavit

Hi Shardeck,

Links to Cattow docs below.

Motion: https://www.dropbox.com/s/3ldfbplygvgjwnh/Cattow%20-%20Motion-of-Notion.pdf?dl=0

Cattow responding affridavit - https://www.dropbox.com/s/v8bkro4ofsklu6m/Cattow-responding-Aff.pdf?dl=0

Please confirm receipt.

Scared due to him lying and getting away with it for a year now in our government departments and tired.

There is proof that I have done nothing wrong here in the maintenance file at maintenance court but the clerk did not respond when I asked for a copy. Mark was at court when I openly showed the prosecutor the documents and told her I did not know how much back maintenance was owing due to the mix up with the consent paper and the divorce order. Outrageous.

Thank you.

Nicole

ND NS

Gmail

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>

LC0000001622306 - Civil Case

1 message

Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com> Tue, Aug 25, 2020 at 9:49 AM
To: "LOCClaims@fnb.co.za" <LOCClaims@fnb.co.za>

Hi,

I need a Civil Lawyer ASAP please.

I have had a false allegation of fraud load against me.

Please find link to my responding affidavit. Entirely true.

https://www.dropbox.com/s/fmcv6idaxc8bb19/Final%20Responding%20Affidavit.pdf?dl=0

I appeared in court to defend myself yesterday and am not supposed to be in private spaces due to my lung condition and COVID.

Urgent please. But I want a very good lawyer and would rather wait a bit for that please. The opposing firm is Cattow Neethling and WIID. One of the top firms in Cape Town.

I am in Cape Town.

With thanks,

Nicole Dreyer (Elkon)

ND
NS

Gmail **Go Remote <goremoteworld@gmail.com>**

Re: FW: LC0000001622306 : - FNBREF#139535326

1 message

locclaims@fnb.co.za <locclaims@fnb.co.za> Thu, Aug 20, 2020 at 11:37 AM
To: goremoteworld@gmail.com

Dear Law on Call customer

Thank you for your email, your claim will be looked into shortly. You can expect a response within 48 hours.
Please note that our working hours are Monday to Friday, between 07:30 to 18:00.
If you need to speak to a lawyer call 0877367773 (available 24/7) or email LOCclaims@fnb.co.za. If you want to make changes to your Plan, call 0877367773 or email LOC@fnb.co.za.
Yours sincerely,

The FNB Law on Call team

This email is subject to a disclaimer.

Visit the FNB website and view the email disclaimer and privacy notice / policy by clicking the "About FNB + Legal" and "Legal Matters" links.
If you are unable to access our website, please contact us to send you a copy of the email disclaimer or privacy notice / policy .

C

ND NS